

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/559,704	04/27/2000	Michael D. Zoeckler	7137	2557	
75	90 07/09/2003				
Steve M Mclary			EXAMINER		
Riverwood International Corporation 3350 Riverwood Parkway S E			HARMON, CHR	HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
Suite 1400 Atlanta, GA 30	0339		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3721	19	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/559,704	ZOECKLER, MICHAEL D.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher R Harmon	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);				
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);					
(c)	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-16 and 25-34</u> .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exan	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	2			

EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER

10. Other: \_\_\_\_



Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues have been raised such as the reinforcing material overlying substantially all of the panel.